# Message Text

#### CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 CANBER 05578 090605Z ACTION OES-07

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 ARA-10 EA-07 EUR-12 NEA-10 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-07 AGRE-00 AID-05 CEA-01 CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 COME-00 DLOS-09 DODE-00 DOTE-00 EB-08 EPA-01 ERDA-05 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-01 INR-07 INT-05 IO-13 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-01 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 /162 W

-----044319 090626Z/21

R 090459Z AUG 77

FM AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1067

INFO AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY KUALA LUMPUR

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY PORT MORESBY

AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

AMEMBASSY SUVA

AMEMBASSY TAIPEI

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USMISSION USUN

CINCPAC HONOLULU

CONFIDENTIAL CANBERRA 5578

CINCPAC ALSO FOR POLAD

TOKYO FOR REGIONAL FISHERIES ATTACHE

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PLOS, AS

SUBJECT: AUSTRALIAN 200 NM FISHING ZONE

1. SUMMARY: DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS (NOLAN, LEGAL DIVISION) ASKED EMBOFF TO COME IN AUGUST 5 FOR BRIEFING CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 CANBER 05578 090605Z

ON GOA PLANS FOR A 200 NAUTICAL MILE FISHING ZONE. END SUMMARY.

2. ACCORDING TO DFA, THE AUSTRALIAN CABINET HAS DECIDED TO PREPARE LEGISLATION FOR A 200 NM FISHING ZONE. NOLAN STRESSED THAT THE CABINET DECISION WAS "TO PREPARE" LEGISLATION RATHER THAN SIMPLY "DECLARE" A 200 NM FISHING ZONE.

THE LEGISLATION WOULD COVER "AUSTRALIA AND ITS TERRITORIES," BUT NOLAN TOLD US IN STRICT CONFIDENCE--EMPHASIZING THAT THE GOA DIDN'T WANT THIS TO GET BEYOND THE US, UK, CANADA AND NEW ZEALAND, AT THIS STAGE--THAT GOA PLANS DID NOT INCLUDE AUSTRALIA'A CLAIMED SECTOR IN ANTARCTICA, ABOUT WHICH NO DECISIONS HAVE BEEN MADE. NOLAN EMHPASIZED THAT THIS LEGISLATION WOULD ONLY COVER "FISHING"--IT WOULD NOT DIRECT ITSELF TO OTHER ECONOMIC RESOURCES OR SEABEDS. HE DID NOT HAVE ANY DATES BY WHICH DRAFTS WOULD BE READY, LET ALONE IMPLEMENTED, BUT SAID HE WOULD OF COURSE TRY TO GET US A COPY AS SOON AS IT WAS AVAILABLE. (IN THIS CONNECTION, SEE ALSO CANBERRA A-89, AUGUST 10, 1977, ITEM 5.)

- 3. WITH RESPECT TO ECONOMIC RESOURCES, ALTHOUGH THE MATTER IS STILL UNDER CONSIDERATION, THE GOA APPARENTLY FEELS RESOURCES ON ITS CONTINENTAL SHELF ARE ALREADY PROTECTED UNDER THE GENEVA CONVENTION ON CONTINENTAL SHELVES.
- 4. THE DFA DOES NOT FORESEE ANY PARTICULAR FOREIGN POLICY PROBLEMS ARISING FROM THE 200 NM FISHING ZONE; AUSTRALIA ALREADY HAS FISHERIES AGREEMENTS WITH INDONESIA, PAPUA NEW GUINEA, WESTERN SAMOA, FRANCE (REGARDING FRENCH TERRITORIES IN THE PACIFIC), AND NEW ZEALAND. THE PRINCIPAL FISHERS OFF AUSTRALIA'S COAST ARE THE JAPANESE, TAIWANESE, AND INDONESIANS. THE LATTER ACCOUNT FOR A NEGLIGIBLE PORTION CONFIDENTIAL.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

### PAGE 03 CANBER 05578 090605Z

OF THE CATCH SINCE THEIRS IS MOSTLY SUBSISTENCE FISHING.
JAPANESE FISHING IS MOSTLY LON-LINE FOR TUNA, AND THE
AUSTRALIANS HAVE AN AGREEMENT WITH JAPAN IN WHICH THE
JAPANESE ARE GRANTED THE USE OF SPECIFIC PORTS AND FACILITIES IN AUSTRALIA FOR THEIR FLEET. TAIWAN WILL OFFER
THE GREATEST DIFFICULTIES, SINCE THE GOA RECOGNIZES THE
PRC AND HAS NO GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT AGREEMENTS WITH
TAIPEI. FURTHERMORE, THE TAIWANESE ACCOUNT FOR A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF FISHING IN THE NEW 200-MILE ZONE, AND
IN THE PAST HAVE BEEN SOMETHING OF A PROBLEM. DFA ISN'T
QUITE SURE HOW THEY WILL TACKLE LICENSING PROBLEMS FOR
TAIWAN JUST YET. IN REPLY TO A DIRECT QUESTION, NOLAN
SAID THE GOC HAD NOT YET REACHED ANY DECISIONS ABOUT
LICENSING REQUIREMENTS, FEES, ELIGIBILITIES OR RESTRICTIONS.

5. NOLAN INDICATED THE GOA DID NOT BELIEVE ENFORCEMENT OF A 200-MILE ZONE WOULD BE A PROBLEM; THE AUSTRALIAN DE-PARTMENT OF DEFENSE HAS TOLD THE DFA THAT ITS RESOURCES ARE ALREADY GEARED FOR LONG-RANGE PATROLING, WHICH WOULD BE SUPPLEMENTED BY REPORTS FROM PATROL CRAFT, COMMERCIAL SHIPS, AND COMMERCIAL AND PRIVATE AIRCRAFT. EMBASSY BELIEVES, HOWEVER, THAT SURVEILLANCE AND ENFORCEMENT COULD

IN FACT PRESENT A SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM FOR THE GOA. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF RECENT INCIDENTS INVOLVING "UNINVITED" SHIPS PENETRATING AUSTRALIAN WATERS AND LANDING ON AUSTRALIAN SHORES UNDETECTED FOR DAYS.

6. WE DID NOT GO OVER THE RECENTLY-ENDED LOS SESSIONS IN NEW YORK EXCEPT FOR A BRIEF EXCHANGE IN WHICH NOLAN EXPRESSED CURIOSITY ABOUT THE STRENGTH OF THE US REACTION TO THE UNEXPECTED DRAFT TEXT ON SEABEDS.
EMBOFF REPLIED THAT THE US HAD INDEED BEEN SURPRISED BY THE TABLING OF A TEXT COMPLETELY DIFFERENT IN SUBSTANCE AND IN TONE FROM THAT WHICH HAD BEEN SO PAINSTAKINGLY WORKED OUT BY THE EVENSEN GROUP, AND SAID THAT SUCH ACTION CALLED INTO QUESTION THE PREMISES UNDER WHICH THE US WAS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 CANBER 05578 090605Z

PARTICIPATING IN EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE A SATISFACTORY LAW OF THE SEA AGREEMENT. NOLAN REMARKED IN CLOSING THAT THE GOA WOULD BE STUDYING (BUT HAVE NOT YET BEGUN DOING SO) THE ALTERNATIVE TEXT. ALSTON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: FISHERIES, TWO HUNDRED MILE LIMIT, LEGISLATIVE BILLS, ECONOMIC PROGRAMS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 09-Aug-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am

Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event: Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977CANBER05578
Document Source: CORE

**Document Unique ID: 00** Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

**Expiration:** 

Film Number: D770285-1072

Format: TEL From: CANBERRA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770883/aaaacsbr.tel

Line Count: 140 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: e7fedc5f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION OES

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 17-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1667488 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: AUSTRALIAN 200 NM FISHING ZONE

TAGS: PLOS, AS To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/e7fedc5f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009